IMPLEMENTATION TOOL 1: WHEN SHOULD YOU ADAPT?

WHEN SHOULD YOU ADAPT?1

Maintaining a program's 'fidelity' means adhering to its core components. However, it may be necessary to make some changes. When should you adapt interventions to fit different cultural traditions?

Here are six useful criteria:

- 1. When you think you have a good idea
- 2. When you have actually tested that idea, and found it to be successful
- 3. When you are actively interested in trying out the idea in a different cultural setting
- 4. When you have the needed time, money, and person-power to go forward
- 5. Members of the different cultural group are known to be interested in your intervention. (In some cases, they may even ask you to conduct it in their setting.
- 6. Members of that cultural group are actively willing to collaborate with you in making that intervention a success.

For more information:

Wadud, E. and Berkowitz, B. (n.d.). *Chapter 19. Section 4: Adapting community interventions for different cultures and communities.* Retrieved from The Community Tool Box: http://ctb.ku.edu/en/table-of-contents/analyze/choose-and-adapt-community-interventions/cultural-adaptation/main

¹ This implementation tool was borrowed directly from the following website: http://ctb.ku.edu/en/table-of-contents/analyze/choose-and-adapt-community-interventions/cultural-adaptation/main

IMPLEMENTATION TOOL 2: HOW SHOULD YOU ADAPT?

HOW SHOULD YOU ADAPT?1

'Adaptation' includes any changes to a program's content and delivery in order to fit specific needs. How should you adapt interventions to fit different cultural traditions?

Here is one step-by-step approach you can use as a guide. (If you are working within an organization, the same steps will apply to your organization as well.)

- 1. Ask yourself, honestly: "Is the intervention is worth adapting?" It may be good; but is it good enough? Is its potential value high enough to justify the effort you will be putting in? A sincere and thoughtful answer to this question, well before you start, can save you a lot of time and trouble later on.
- 2. Suppose you decide the intervention is worth adapting. **Do you want to do the adaptation?** Much of the success of your adaptation -- or of *any* intervention -- will depend upon your personal desire. There may be other things you would rather do. So unless you can answer this question with a definite "yes," think very carefully before moving ahead.
- 3. You may decide that you want to do it. But here's another question: **Is it really your role to direct the adaptation?** Maybe it is; maybe no one else is ready to come forward at all. (If so, ask why.) But maybe the intervention would work better if you didn't direct it. Maybe others should take on the lead responsibility -- which could both make the intervention more successful and empower those others as well. Maybe your best place is on the edges, or in the background.
- 4. **Check your readiness**. If you do have a role, are you culturally ready to take it on? You might want to ask yourself these questions:
 - What are your own cultural values and beliefs? How might they be different from those of the target community?
 - o Do you have experience working with the cultural group in question, or with similar groups?
 - o If so, what lessons can you draw from those experiences that might be useful here?
 - Are you personally ready to take on the potential work of doing the adaptation?
- 5. Check the readiness of your target group "readiness" works both ways:
 - o Is the cultural group in question ready to work with you?
 - o Is it also *able* to work with you? Does it have the skills and resources that might be necessary?
 - o How is it likely to react to your own cultural tradition? (You will be bringing that tradition to the intervention whether you want to or not.)
- 6. Depending on your answers to these questions, you may be ready to go ahead. If so, **make the commitment** to do the adaptation. Your external commitment, as well as your internal desire, will be an important ingredient in your success.
- 7. **Set specific objectives** for the adapted intervention. What, specifically, do you want it to accomplish?

For more information:

Wadud, E. and Berkowitz, B. (n.d.). *Chapter 19. Section 4: Adapting community interventions for different cultures and communities.* Retrieved from The Community Tool Box: http://ctb.ku.edu/en/table-of-contents/analyze/choose-and-adapt-community-interventions/cultural-adaptation/main

¹ Implementation tool borrowed directly from http://ctb.ku.edu/en/table-of-contents/analyze/choose-and-adapt-community-interventions/cultural-adaptation/main

ADAPTATION GUIDELINES¹

Reminders on program selection:

• Select programs with the best practical fit to local needs and conditions. This will reduce the likelihood that you will need to make any significant adaptations.

• Select programs with the largest effect size.

In general, a program with a large effect size is less likely to experience a reduction in their intended outcomes due to an adaptation, compared to a similar program with a smaller effect size.

General guidelines to follow when adapting a program:

• Retain core components.

Evidence-based programs are more likely to be effective when their core components are maintained. Core components are those parts of a program or practice that are responsible for producing positive outcomes, and thus most essential and indispensable. Core components are like the key ingredients in a cookie recipe. We might be able to take out the chocolate chips, but if we take out the flour—a core component—the recipe won't work. However, understanding and adhering to the principles underlying each core component may allow for flexibility.

• Be consistent with evidence-based principles.

There is a greater likelihood of success if an adaptation does not violate an established evidence-based prevention principle.

• Build capacity before changing the program.

Rather than change a program to fit local conditions, consider ways to develop resources or to build local readiness so that it can be delivered as it was originally designed.

• Add rather than subtract.

Doing so decreases the likelihood of important program elements (i.e., those that are critical to program effectiveness) getting lost.

• Adapt with care.

Even when programs and practices are selected with great care, there may be ways to improve their appropriateness for a unique focus population. Cultural adaptation, for example, refers to modifications that are tailored to the beliefs and practices of a particular group and enhance the cultural relevance of an intervention. To make a program or practice more culturally appropriate, consider the language, values, attitudes, beliefs, and experiences of focus population members.

• If adapting, get help.

Knowledge experts, such as program developers, can provide information on how a program has been adapted in the past, how well these adaptations have worked, and what core components should be retained to maintain effectiveness. Members of the focus population can also suggest ways to enhance program materials or messaging to better reflect their concerns and experiences.

IMPLEMENTATION TOOL 3: ADAPTATION GUIDELINES

• Increase accessibility.

Adapt program materials and delivery methods to accommodate diverse learning styles, literacy levels, language backgrounds, physical accessibility requirements, and digital access.

Train staff and test adapted materials.

Provide formal training to ensure that staff are equipped to deliver the adapted content and consistently adhere to the modified methods of delivery with fidelity.

¹ This implementation tool—Adaptation Guideline—was initially created based on the 2013 document published by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA, 2013), which was subsequently replaced by the 2019 version. Although the original source is no longer accessible online, it continued to serve as a foundational reference for the development of this tool. The current version mainly borrowed guidelines from the following SAMHSA resources:

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA). (2019). A Guide to SAMHSA's
 Strategic Prevention Framework: Implementation Program Fidelity. Step 4: Implementation (pp. 20–22).
 Retrieved from https://library.samhsa.gov/sites/default/files/strategic-prevention-framework-pep19-01.pdf

[•] Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA). (2022). *Adapting Evidence-Based Practices for Under-Resourced Populations* (SAMHSA Publication No. PEP22-06-02-004, pp. 15, 20–21). Retrieved from https://helpandhopewy.org/docs/PEP22-06-02-004.pdf

IMPLEMENTATION TOOL 4: MONITORING IMPLEMENTATION

MONITORING IMPLEMENTATION¹

Key Questions While Monitoring and Evaluating Status of Implementation of the Plan

- 1. Are goals and objectives being achieved or not? If they are, then acknowledge, reward and communicate the progress. If not, then consider the following questions.
- 2. Will the goals be achieved according to the timelines specified in the plan? If not, then why?
- 3. Should the deadlines for completion be changed (be careful about making these changes -- know why efforts are behind schedule before times are changed)?
- 4. Do personnel have adequate resources (money, equipment, facilities, training, etc.) to achieve the goals?
- 5. Are the goals and objectives still realistic?
- 6. Should priorities be changed to put more focus on achieving the goals?
- 7. Should the goals be changed (be careful about making these changes -- know why efforts are not achieving the goals before changing the goals)?
- 8. What can be learned from our monitoring and evaluation in order to improve future planning activities and also to improve future monitoring and evaluation efforts?

For more information:

McNamara, Carter. (n.d.) *Basics of monitoring, evaluating and deviating from the strategic plan*. Retrieved from Free Management Library: http://managementhelp.org/strategicplanning/implementing-plan.htm

¹ Implementation tool borrowed directly from http://managementhelp.org/strategicplanning/implementing-plan.htm

ADAPTATION FORM

Request to Adapt an Evidence-Based Program (EBP)

SECTION 1. BASIC INFORMATION

Name _	Organization
Phone _	Email Date
Name o	f EBP
Type of	Request
	New Request—Complete Section 2: Details and Section 3: Attachments.
	Revision (Changes to an approved adaptation)—Last approval date: Complete Section 2: Details and Section 3: Attachments.
	Renewal (No changes)—Last approval date: Complete Section 3: Attachments only.
	Not applicable—No adaptation will be made to the EBP. (No further sections are required.)

SECTION 2. DETAILS OF THE REQUEST

Please indicate the proposed changes in Column A and complete Columns B and C accordingly. For reference, general adaptation guidelines are included in the appendix to this form.

No.	Area	Check (A)	Original (B)	Proposed Change (C)
1.	Prevention/Behavior focus			
2.	Participant Characteristics			
3.	Staff Training			
4.	Implementer qualifications			
5.	Staffing ratio			
6.	Setting and delivery timing			
7.	Dosage (e.g., number, frequency, and length of sessions)			
8.	Duration (i.e., overall program's length in days, weeks, or months)			
9.	Method of implementation (e.g., in-person, web-based, mobile-based, virtual synchronous/asynchronous, hybrid)			
10.	Core content			
11.	Non-essential content			

	NO.	Area	(A)	Original (B)	(C)		
1	12.	Sequence of topics/content delivered					
	13.	Activities and interactions					
	14.	Skills targeted					
	15.	Cultural focus (e.g., cultural norms, values, language, beliefs)					
	16.	Social support/Community involvement					
	17.	Other, specify:					
E. Which of the following best describes how the core components were identified? Identified in the program materials Identified by the program developer Identified by study evidence and data Not applicable (not identified yet) Other, specify:							
F. Please assess the extent to which the proposed change(s) will affect the success of the program.							
G. Did you consult with the program developer about your proposed change(s)? If so, what were their comments?							
H	Did you consult with your evaluator about your proposed change(s)? If so, what were their comments?						
I.	pop	you consult with specialists (local or oth ulation regarding any of the proposed cl escribe the results of the consultation o	hanges? If so	_	•		

J. Other comments.

PART 3: ATTACHMENTS

In the space below, list all supporting documents for this adaptation request. Be sure to submit all listed materials with your request.

Examples include: correspondence with developers, evaluators, or other consultants; relevant literature; field testing results; or any other materials that support this request.

New Requests: Include all supporting documents.

<u>Revised Requests</u>: Include documents related to the revision, plus a copy of your previously submitted request, its supporting documents, and the approval received (e.g., email or other correspondence from ADAD).

<u>Renewal requests</u>: Include a copy of your previously submitted request and supporting documents, along with the approval received (e.g., email or other correspondence from ADAD).

Appendix: ADAPTATION GUIDELINES¹

Reminders on program selection:

• Select programs with the best practical fit to local needs and conditions.

This will reduce the likelihood that you will need to make any significant adaptations.

Select programs with the largest effect size.

In general, a program with a large effect size is less likely to experience a reduction in their intended outcomes due to an adaptation, compared to a similar program with a smaller effect size.

General guidelines to follow when adapting a program:

Retain core components.

Evidence-based programs are more likely to be effective when their core components are maintained. Core components are those parts of a program or practice that are responsible for producing positive outcomes, and thus most essential and indispensable. Core components are like the key ingredients in a cookie recipe. We might be able to take out the chocolate chips, but if we take out the flour—a core component—the recipe won't work. However, understanding and adhering to the principles underlying each core component may allow for flexibility.

• Be consistent with evidence-based principles.

There is a greater likelihood of success if an adaptation does not violate an established evidence-based prevention principle.

• Build capacity before changing the program.

Rather than change a program to fit local conditions, consider ways to develop resources or to build local readiness so that it can be delivered as it was originally designed.

Add rather than subtract.

Doing so decreases the likelihood of important program elements (i.e., those that are critical to program effectiveness) getting lost.

Adapt with care.

Even when programs and practices are selected with great care, there may be ways to improve their appropriateness for a unique focus population. Cultural adaptation, for example, refers to modifications that are tailored to the beliefs and practices of a particular group and enhance the cultural relevance of an intervention. To make a program or practice more culturally appropriate, consider the language, values, attitudes, beliefs, and experiences of focus population members.

If adapting, get help.

Knowledge experts, such as program developers, can provide information on how a program has been adapted in the past, how well these adaptations have worked, and what core components should be retained to maintain effectiveness. Members of the focus population can also suggest ways to enhance program materials or messaging to better reflect their concerns and experiences.

Increase accessibility.

Adapt program materials and delivery methods to accommodate diverse learning styles, literacy levels, language backgrounds, physical accessibility requirements, and digital access.

• Train staff and test adapted materials.

Provide formal training to ensure that staff are equipped to deliver the adapted content and consistently adhere to the modified methods of delivery with fidelity.

¹ This implementation tool—Adaptation Guideline—was initially created based on the 2013 document published by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA, 2013), which was subsequently replaced by the 2019 version. Although the original source is no longer accessible online, it continued to serve as a foundational reference for the development of this tool. The current version mainly borrowed guidelines from the following SAMHSA resources:

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA). (2019). A Guide to SAMHSA's Strategic Prevention Framework: Implementation Program Fidelity. Step 4: Implementation (pp. 20–22). Retrieved from https://library.samhsa.gov/sites/default/files/strategic-prevention-framework-pep19-01.pdf

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA). (2022). Adapting
 Evidence-Based Practices for Under-Resourced Populations (SAMHSA Publication No.
 PEP22-06-02-004, pp. 15, 20–21). Retrieved from
 https://helpandhopewv.org/docs/PEP22-06-02-004.pdf